

Draft 2, MARCH 2011

A Position Statement by the Paediatric Nursing Associations of Europe (PNAE)

Konstantinos Petsios

RN,MSc,PhD

President of HNA's Pediatric Sector



 The purpose of this position statement is to identify key concepts concerning medication errors in children, to describe the current status or reporting systems in European level and to share measures aimed at reducing medication errors among different European countries.



Introduction

- Patient safety has become the preeminent issue for health care.
- The prescribing, dispensing, and administration of medications represent a substantial portion of the preventable medical errors that occur with children and that children are more at risk for medication errors than adults.
- The Paediatric Nursing Associations of Europe Network (PNAE) conducted a survey throughout 2009. The aim was to identify common practise concerning medication errors among different European countries and to share measures aimed at reducing medication errors.



- Potential adverse drug events due to medication errors occur up to three times more frequently in paediatric than in adult wards. (Miller, Robinson, Lubomski, Rinke, Pronovost, 2007).
- Medication errors may result in morbidity, mortality, increased monitoring and cost of care, and delayed hospital discharge. Nurses are the key participants in the preparation and administration of medication.
- During their training, nurses are taught the Six Rights of medication administration, which are: giving the right medication in the right dose at the right time via the right route to the right patient with the right documentation (Raja Lope, Boo, Rohana, Cheah, 2009).
- Nevertheless, medication errors are a multidisciplinary problem and a multidisciplinary approach is required in order to reduce the incidence of errors. Interdisciplinary collaboration is required for a rationalism of medicine errors through the creation of a new frame of health systems' operation and continuous education. (O'Shea, 1999).



- Looking at error reporting systems, it is clear that each step
 of the medication process is error prone, although the majority
 of research has focused on prescribing errors.
- Each step (prescribing 3-37%, dispensing 5-58%, administering 72-75%, and documentation 17-21%) contributes to the overall rate of medication errors among children (King et al, 2003; Frey et al, 2002).
- Literature acknowledges that both active failures and latent conditions remain prevalent. Active failures often display themselves in the form of incorrect drug calculations, lack of individual knowledge, and failure to follow established protocol.
- Latent conditions are evidenced as time pressures, fatigue, understaffing, inexperience, design deficiencies, and inadequate equipment (Carlton, Blegen, 2006).



- Nowadays, medication error research has shifted in emphasis toward identification of system problems inherent in error occurrence with emphasis placed on more dependable reporting measures through which nurses are not threatened by reprisal (Carlton, Blegen, 2006).
- The vast majority of errors result in no harm, or have only very minimal temporary effects.
- These types of errors represent very important opportunities to identify systems' weaknesses and institute improvements before serious harm occurs.
- There is a need for a National System of report that would make possible the recording and analysis of errors.
- Open reporting of medication errors must be encouraged since voluntary error reporting is at the heart of any safety improvement strategy (National Patient Safety Agency, 2007).



Definition of errors

- Medication errors are defined as "any preventable event that may cause or lead to an inappropriate medication use or patient harm while in the control of the health care professional, patient or consumer" (NPSA, 2005).
- Such events may be related to professional practice, health-care products, procedures and systems, including prescribing; order communication; product labelling, packaging and nomenclature; compounding; dispensing; distribution; administration; education; monitoring and use'.
- Key areas encompassed within the survey included gathering information about
 - Reporting and recording systems
 - Factors influencing the reporting of medication errors
 - Measures taken to reduce medication errors



Reporting and recording systems

 The survey found that some countries had introduced a national recording and reporting system.

 These included the Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

 Countries like Belgium reported that a system was currently under development.

• Other countries reported that individual hospitals had a reporting system in place.



Factors influencing reporting of medication errors

See Appendix 1

- 1. Anonymity
- 2. Awareness of reporting mechanisms
- 3. Blame culture
- 4. Concerns re penalisation for reporting
- 5. Ease of reporting mechanisms
- 6. Education level of nurses
- 7. Hospital policies
- 8. Patient harm
- 9. Patient safety focus
- 10. Recognition of drug error including what constitutes a medication error
- 11. Responsibility level of nurses
- 12. Workload and staffing levels

• PNAE members to discuss and rank what they consider to be the top 3 are in Appendix 1 (which we then cite here)

Reducing medication errors

- See Appendix 2
- Have been
- limited to 30

- 1. Standards for drug and infusion storage
- 2. Standards for drug and infusion preparation
- 3. Standards for drug and infusion sustenance
- 4. Policies and protocols for single and double checking
- 5. Different levels of control before the drug administration
- 6. Drug documentation by two nurses
- 7. Systematic control of expiry date
- 8. Different coloured syringes for IV and oral medications
- Training for use of infusion devices
- Streamliningtype of infusion devices used within hospital settings
- 11. Control of home medication (parents will bring them to the hospitals)
- 12. Barcode Medication Administration System
- 13. Checklists for medication administration
- 14. PICU-specific, high-alert medications list
- 15. Identification of all drug prepared for the children
- 16. Improvements in labelling and packaging of medication
- 17. Bracelet identification and systematic control right patient
- 18. Introduction of single use medication devices, safer connection devices
- 19. Alert system electronic administration
- 20. Wearing of red tabard to reduce interruptions and distractions
- 21. Paediatric prescription awareness
- 22. Medication audit trails
- 23. Drug preparation by specialists (Ward and unit based pharmacists)
- 24. Evaluation of health professionals' ability to calculate doses
- 25. Creation of learning organisation culture
- Education and training (specific education for nurses caring for neonates and children)
- 27. National/International alerts, directives, tools and guidance
- Posters to educate patients and visitors not to interrupt the nurse when preparing or administer drugs
- 29. Safety conferences
- 30. National record of drug effects

PNAE members to discuss and rank what the top measures are from Appendix 2 (which we can then cite here)

End note

This document represents a consensus position of the organisations representing paediatric nurses across many European countries (PNAE*). PNAE strongly recommends that all European countries should give consideration to these findings and they can use them for implementation.

(http://www.rcn.org.uk/development/communities/specialisms/children_and_young_people/for_ums/other_forums_and_groups/paediatric_nursing_associations_of_europe).

Useful websites for further information include:

http://www.npsa.nhs.uk/nrls/medication-zone/ www.nmc-uk.org www.rcn.org.uk

Key stakeholders

Professional nursing association/organisation in each member state EU and individual governments of member states FFN

FePI

HOPE



- Raja Lope RJ, Boo NY, Rohana J, Cheah FC. A quality assurance study on the administration of medication by nurses in a neonatal intensive care unit. Singapore Med J. 2009 Jan;50(1):68-72.
- Miller MR, Robinson KA, Lubomski LH, Rinke ML, Pronovost PJ. Medication errors in paediatric care: a systematic review of epidemiology and an evaluation of evidence supporting reduction strategy recommendations. Qual Saf Health Care. 2007 Apr;16(2):116-26.
- Frey B, Buettiker V, Hug MI, et al. Does critical incident reporting contribute to medication error prevention? Eur J Pediatr 2002;161:594–9.
- 4. King WJ, Paice N, Rangrej J, et al. The effect of computerized physician order entry on medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. Pediatrics 2003;112:506–9.
- O'Shea E. Factors contributing to medication errors: a literature review. J Clin Nurs. 1999 Sep; 8(5):496-504.
- 6. The National Patient Safety Agency. Patient safety. Arch Dis Child 2005;90:226-228
- 7. Carlton G, Blegen MA. Medication-related errors: a literature review of incidence and antecedents. Annu Rev Nurs Res. 2006;24:19-38.
- 8. National Patient Safety Agency. Safety in doses. Medication safety incidents in the NHS. Fourth report from the patient safety observatory, 2007. London.



Feedback Deadline
31st March 2011