

43rd PNAE Meeting-on line hosted by Iceland 15th May 2024

Results of the 6th PNAE Congress Participants' Satisfaction Survey edited by Immacolata Dall'Oglio (Italy)

Introduction

The 6th PNAE Congress Satisfaction Survey was developed starting from the previous experiences of PNAE Congresses (4th and 5th) according with the Scientific Committee of the 6th PNAE Congress.

The Survey was developed through Survey Monkey® and kindly managed by the Professional Development, Continuous Education and Research Service of Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS- Rome.

The 6th PNAE Congress Satisfaction Survey was send to all the Congress participants starting from the first week of October 2023.

The congress attendance certificate was sent to whom resulted answered at the Survey.

The Survey was resent many times to the not respondent participants until the third week of November.

The data were analysed anonymously and they were considered only collectively and not at individual level

Totally the advice for the Survey was sent to 330 participants at the Congress (for every role, not at Institutional level).

We received 269 answers (81%) until 23rd October.

Here below are described the preliminary results of the Survey.

Results

The majority of participants declared that they considered the themes presented in the congress to be very relevant. The participants considered the presentations of the Keynote speakers or invited speakers to be predominantly satisfactory or very satisfactory. They similarly, although slightly less, rated the quality of oral presentations and e-posters. Eighty-two percent for cent of participants considered the Congress useful or very useful for their professional development. Almost 90% of participants considered the Congress useful for developing contacts at a national and international level. On the other hand, satisfaction was reduced to just under 80% regarding the time dedicated to the different sessions. From this point of view, out of 74 (27%) participants expressed their comments at the Congress. Some comments strengthened the positive opinion of the scientific event, others underlined the







need for more time of the length session, additional time for questions and discussion with the public. Someone reported: the difficulty of hearing enough the e-poster speakers during the poster walks, especially on the first day; the need to have a dedicated time for the e-posters that did not coincide with breaks or lunch times (Annex n.1).










Annex 1

Results from the answers at the 6th PNAE Congress Participants Satisfaction Survey


How do you evaluate the relevance of the topics proposed for 6th PNAE Congress ?





How do you rate the quality of the Keynotes/Invited Speakers' Presentations?















D3 3) How do you rate the quality of the Oral Presentations?





D4 4) How do you rate the quality of the e-posters?

Risposte: 269 Saltate: 0












How do you rate the usefulness of the 6th PNAE Congress for your professional development?



D6 6) How do you rate the usefulness of the 6th PNAE Congress for making national/international connections at a professional level ?



How do you rate the time planned for every session of the 6th PNAE Congress ?

